

Cannon Mountain Aerial Tramway & Ski Area

Summary of comments received during open public comment period (09/20/12 – 10/12/12)

Relative to the proposed enhancement project at Mittersill – 83 comments received

Summary of support (66 submissions):

- * Frees up several trails at Cannon proper
- * Reclamation of another historic NH ski area
- * Creates an everyday two-mtn ski area
- * Adds a longer, safer, wider training area
- * Better safety for both racers & public with segregated training
- * Creates an opportunity for both natural and man-made skiing
- * Creates opportunity for future regional events
- * Allows far more people to access Mittersill terrain
- * Excellent partnership with Cannon's original partner
- * Great stimulus for the local economy
- * Win-win for guests, Cannon, State, economy, and racers
- * Restores Mittersill to everyday functionality
- * Makes good business sense

Summary of opposition (9 submissions):

- * Changing the culture at Mittersill
- * Too much change to the trails
- * FSC will have a “controlling” interest in Cannon
- * FSC kids & coaches don't behave well
- * No development or lifts over there in early plan
- * Too expensive to run the operation
- * Cannon didn't poll every passholder for their opinion
- * Exposing the ski area to a lease possibility
- * Snowmaking on a few trails may lead to more

Note: 8 other submissions; questions only, no support or opposition given